UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,866	03/22/2004	Robert Tod Dimpsey	AUS920040065US1	2686
35525 IBM CORP (Y	7590 08/24/200 A)	7	EXAM	IINER
	SSOCIATES PC	SAVLA, ARPAN P		
DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		L			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,866	DIMPSEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arpan P. Savla	2185			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,12,15-18 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,12,15-18 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	u)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/07</u> , <u>6/29/07</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 29, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

This Office action is in response to Applicant's communication filed June 29, 2007 in response to the Office action dated March 29, 2007. Claims 1, 12, and 18 have been amended. Claims 2-3, 13-14, and 19-20 have been cancelled. New claim 24 has been added. Claims 1, 4-8, 12, 15-18, and 21-24 are pending in this application.

OBJECTIONS

Specification

1. In view of Applicant's amendments, the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,866 Page 3

Art Unit: 2185

Claims

2. <u>Claims 1, 6, 12, 17-18, and 23-24</u> are objected for the use of the term "one of...or..." The Examiner suggests Applicant amend to the term to instead read "one of...and..." as does appear in various limitations of claims 1, 12, 18, and 24. Appropriate correction required.

REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1, 4-8, 12, 15-18, and 21-24</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damron (U.S. Patent 6,782,454) in view of Hooker (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0191900).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 18, Damron discloses a method in a data processing system for providing hardware assistance to prefetch data during execution of code by a processor in the data processing system, the method comprising:

responsive to loading of an instruction in the code into a cache, determining, by a processor unit, whether metadata for a prefetch is present for the instruction, wherein the metadata comprises a prefetch indicator that is associated with the instruction by one of being placed in the instruction or stored in a shadow memory (col. 4, lines 58-61;

Art Unit: 2185

col. 5, lines 17-24; Fig. 1, element 175; Fig. 3, element 220); It should be noted that computer program product in claims 18-23 executes the exact same functions as the methods in claims 1 and 4-6. Therefore, any references that teach claims 1-6 also teach the corresponding claims 18 and 21-23. It should also be noted that the "prefetch request" is analogous to the "instruction", the "prefetch engine" is analogous to the "processing unit", and the "starting address of a node (to be prefetched), an offset value, and a termination value" all in combination are analogous to the "metadata." Lastly, it should be noted that the "starting address of a node (to be prefetched)" and the "offset value", which are placed in the prefetch request, are analogous to the "prefetch indicator" being placed in the instruction.

responsive to determination of the metadata being present for the instruction, determining whether data is to be prefetched (col. 5, lines 27-35; Fig. 3, element 230); It should be noted that "determining whether a termination condition has been satisfied" is analogous to "determining whether data is to be prefetched." Data is prefetched until the termination condition is met.

and responsive to a determination that data is to be prefetched, prefetching data, from within a data structure using the metadata, into the cache in the processor (col. 5, lines 24-26 and 35-42; Fig. 3, elements 225 and 235). It should be noted that responsive to the termination condition not being met, data is prefetched.

Damron does not expressly disclose wherein the step of determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding

Art Unit: 2185

cache misses is less than a threshold, and determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold;

and wherein the step of prefetching comprises one of prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold.

Hooker discloses wherein the step of determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding cache misses is less than a threshold, and determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold (paragraph 0069; Fig. 5, element 536); It should be noted that the "response buffers" are analogous to the "cache lines."

and wherein the step of prefetching comprises one of prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold (paragraph 0070; Fig. 5, element 538).

Damron and Hooker are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that being prefetching memory systems.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Hooker's threshold based prefetch method within Damron's prefetch engine because, advantageously, by not prefetching cache lines if not enough free response buffers exist, the efficiency of a microprocessor is potentially increased.

Art Unit: 2185

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Damron and Hooker for the benefit of obtaining the invention as specified in claims 1 and 18.

- 6. As per claims 4 and 21, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses retrieving the data from within the data structure using a pointer and an offset value (Damron, col. 5, lines 20-21). It should be noted that the "starting address of a node" is analogous to a "pointer."
- 7. As per claims 5 and 22, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses retrieving the data from the data structure using an address (Damron, col. 5, lines 20-21).
- 8. As per claims 6 and 23, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses the processor unit is selected from one of an instruction cache or a load/store unit (Damron, col. 4, lines 58-61; Fig. 1, element 175). It should be noted that the "prefetch engine" is analogous to a "load/store unit."
- 9. <u>As per claim 7</u>, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses the cache is an instruction cache (Damron, col. 4, lines 53-54).
- 10. <u>As per claim 8</u>, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses the metadata includes the pointer and the offset value (Damron, col. 5, lines 20-21). See the citation note for claims 4 and 21 above.
- 11. As per claim 12, Damron discloses a data processing system for providing hardware assistance to prefetch data during execution of code by a processor in the data processing system, the data processing system comprising:

first determining means, responsive to loading of an instruction in the code into a cache, for determining, by a processor unit, whether metadata for a prefetch is present

Art Unit: 2185

for the instruction, wherein the metadata comprises a prefetch indicator that is associated with the instruction by one of being placed in the instruction or stored in a shadow memory (col. 4, lines 58-61; col. 5, lines 17-24; Fig. 1, element 175; Fig. 3, element 220); It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer. Also, see the citation note for the similar limitation in claims 1 and 18 above.

second determining means, responsive to determination of the metadata being present for the instruction, for determining whether data is to be prefetched (col. 5, lines 27-35; Fig. 3, element 230); *It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer. Also, see the citation note for the similar limitation in claims 1 and 18 above.*

and prefetching means, responsive to a determination that data is to be prefetched, for prefetching data, from within a data structure using the metadata, into the cache in the processor (col. 5, lines 24-26 and 35-42; Fig. 3, elements 225 and 235). It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer.

Damron does not expressly disclose wherein the second determining means comprises one of means for determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding cache misses is less than a threshold, and means for determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold;

Art Unit: 2185

and wherein the prefetching means comprises one of means for prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and means for prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold.

Hooker discloses wherein the second determining means comprises one of means for determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding cache misses is less than a threshold, and means for determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold (paragraph 0069; Fig. 5, element 536); See the citation note for the similar limitation in claims 1 and 18 above.

and wherein the prefetching means comprises one of means for prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and means for prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold (paragraph 0070; Fig. 5, element 538).

Damron and Hooker are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that being prefetching memory systems.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Hooker's threshold based prefetch method within Damron's prefetch engine because, advantageously, by not prefetching cache lines if not enough free response buffers exist, the efficiency of a microprocessor is potentially increased.

Art Unit: 2185

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Damron and Hooker for the benefit of obtaining the invention as specified in claim 12.

- 12. As per claim 15, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses retrieving means for retrieving the data from within the data structure using a pointer and an offset value (Damron, col. 5, lines 20-21). It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer. Also, see the citation note for the similar limitation in claims 4 and 21 above.
- 13. As per claim 16, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses retrieving means for retrieving the data from the data structure using an address (Damron, col. 5, lines 20-21). It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer.
- 14. As per claim 17, the combination of Damron/Hooker discloses the processor unit is selected from one of an instruction cache or a load/store unit (Damron, col. 4, lines 58-61; Fig. 1, element 175). It should be noted that pg. 13, lines 3-5 of Applicant's specification appear to define this means as a computer. Also, see the citation note for the similar limitation in claims 6 and 23 above.
- 15. As per claim 24, Damron discloses a method in a data processing system for providing hardware assistance to prefetch data during execution of code by a processor in the data processing system, the method comprising:

responsive to loading of an instruction in the code into a cache, determining, by a processor unit, whether metadata for a prefetch is present for the instruction (col. 4, lines 58-61; col. 5, lines 17-24; Fig. 1, element 175; Fig. 3, element 220), wherein, the

Art Unit: 2185

processor unit comprises one of the instruction cache or a load/store unit (col. 4, lines 58-61; Fig. 1, element 175), and wherein the metadata comprises a prefetch indicator that is associated with the instruction by one of being placed in the instruction or stored in a shadow memory (col. 4, lines 58-61; col. 5, lines 17-24; Fig. 1, element 175; Fig. 3, element 220), and comprises a pointer and an offset value (col. 5, lines 20-21);

responsive to determination of the metadata being present for the instruction, determining whether data is to be prefetched (col. 5, lines 27-35; Fig. 3, element 230); It should be noted that "determining whether a termination condition has been satisfied" is analogous to "determining whether data is to be prefetched." Data is prefetched until the termination condition is met.

and responsive to a determination that data is to be prefetched, prefetching data, from within a data structure using the metadata, into the cache in the processor (col. 5, lines 24-26 and 35-42; Fig. 3, elements 225 and 235).

Damron does not expressly disclose wherein the step of determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding cache misses is less than a threshold, and determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold;

and wherein the step of prefetching comprises one of prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold.

Hooker discloses wherein the step of determining whether data is to be prefetched comprises one of determining whether a number of outstanding cache misses is less than a threshold, and determining whether a number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than a threshold (paragraph 0069; Fig. 5, element 536);

and wherein the step of prefetching comprises one of prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of outstanding cache misses is less than the threshold, and prefetching the data responsive to determining that the number of cache lines chosen to be replaced is greater than the threshold (paragraph 0070; Fig. 5, element 538).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Hooker's threshold based prefetch method within Damron's prefetch engine because, advantageously, by not prefetching cache lines if not enough free response buffers exist, the efficiency of a microprocessor is potentially increased.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Damron and Hooker for the benefit of obtaining the invention as specified in claim 24.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2007 with respect to claims 1, 4-8, 12, 15-18, and 21-23 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 17. With respect to Applicant's argument in the first full paragraph on page 8 of the communication filed June 29, 2007, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and directs

Application/Control Number: 10/806,866 Page 12

Art Unit: 2185

Applicant to the rejection of claims 1, 12, 18, and 24 above. As the Examiner pointed out above, not just the termination value alone, but rather the starting address of a node (to be prefetched), offset value, and termination value of Damron all in combination are analogous to Applicant's metadata. The starting address and offset value are supplied as part of the prefetch request in order to indicate the location of the first node to be prefetched. Thus, it is clear that Damron's "starting address of a node (to be prefetched)" and "offset value" are analogous to Applicant's "prefetch indicator" as simply and broadly claimed. It should also be noted that the starting address of a node, offset value, and termination value are all part of the prefetch request itself (i.e. the starting address of a node, offset value, and termination value are placed in the prefetch request). Accordingly, Damron sufficiently discloses the metadata comprises a prefetch indicator that is associated with the instruction by one of being placed in the instruction and stored in a shadow memory.

18. With respect to Applicant's argument in the second full paragraph on page 8 of the communication filed June 29, 2007, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and directs Applicant to the rejection of claims 1, 12, 18, and 24 above. The rationale behind the rejection is the Examiner has applied a known technique to a know device ready for improvement to yield predictable results. Thus, it would have been obvious to modify Damron in view of Hooker as indicated above because, advantageously, by not prefetching cache lines if not enough free response buffers exist, the efficiency of a microprocessor is potentially increased.

Art Unit: 2185

19. As for Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims, the arguments rely on the allegation that independent claims 1, 12, and 18 are allowable and therefore for the same reasons the dependent claims are allowable. However, as addressed above, independent claims 1, 12, and 18 are not allowable, thus, Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims are not persuasive.

Conclusion

STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by MPEP 707.70(i):

CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, <u>claims 1, 4-8, 12, 15-18, and 21-24</u> have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arpan P. Savla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sanjiv Shah can be reached on (571) 272-4098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2185

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arpan Savla Art Unit 2185

August 13, 2007

SANJIV SHAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100